

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/894,906 0		06/29/2001	Kenji Okamoto	740819-591	4646
22204	7590	09/02/2005		EXAMINER	
NIXON PE		•	TRAN, HIEN THI		
401 9TH ST SUITE 900	KEEI, N	w		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128				1764	

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/894,906	OKAMOTO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Hien Tran	1764	

Tavioory riodon	03/004,000		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hien Tran	1764	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 25 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replications	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
NOTICE OF APPEAL	mliamas with 27 OFD 44 27 must be	filad within two man	the of the date
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		pecause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(DTO) 004)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 		timaly filed emendm	ont conceling
the non-allowable claim(s).	mowable ii submitted iii a separate	, timely filed affieldin	ent canceling
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		ill be entered and an	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to: 7.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,6 and 7</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>8-10</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	:hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13. Other:		then Iran	

Hien Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1764



'Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The cancellation of the whole paragraph on page 11 changes the breadth of the invention, necessitating further consideration. Claim 7, line 9 has not been amended to overcome the objection.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the same reasons set forth in the final office action. Furthermore, applicants argue that EP '134 does not mention the relationship between the ability of Ce-Pr oxide to absorb and release oxygen and temperature. Such contention is not persuasive as EP discloses that ceria is able to absorb oxygen. However, Ce-Zr and Ce-Pr provide improvement as compared to the utilization of ceria (page 5, lines 1-24). One having ordinary skill in the art would be led to the utility of better compounds based on the teaching of the EP '134. Selecting an appropriate amount of the better compounds is within the purview of one having ordinary skill in the art during routine experimentation and optimization of the system.